Sectt. II-27—40,000—14-10-36.

Political Notes (193) Department.

MS SERIES.

G.O. No. 231

DATED 4-2-1937

[Despatch Abstract.]

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Abstract.-

Buildings South Kanara District - Mangalore - Residence for the District Superintendent of Police - Construction.

Very urgent

Re No. 1268/HB3/36

Station: M a d r a s. Dated 19th November 1936.

From: F. Sayers, Esquire,

Offg. Inspector-General of Police, Madras.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home Department

Through the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Buildings and Roads, Madras.

Sir,

Ref:- G.O.No.Ms.1079 W. dated 4th May 1935.

I have the Honour to forward herewith for the approval of the Government plans and detailed estimate amounting to Rs.36.400/- for the construction of a residence for the District Superintendent of Police at Mangalore in the South Kanara District in lieu of the plans and estimate sanctioned in G.O.No.Ms. 1079, W, Public Works and Labour, dated 4th May 1935.

2. The estimate provides for a servants latrine of 2 seats which is considered sufficient and the title of the latrine plan has been altered accordingly.

3 Funds for the work have been provided in the Civil Works Estimate for 1936-37. I request that the estimate may be approved at a very early date so that the work may be put in hand and the available grant spent during the current financial year.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

for Inspr.-Genl. of Police.

K.R.18.11.

Office of the Chief Engineer,P.W.D.
General.Buildings and Roads

Endorsement No.3958-Wks/36-4, dated 24th November 1936.



Forwarded.

The total excess over the administrative sanction is Rs.9,400. About 50% of the excess is due to the extra charges for land compensation-vide reasons given by the Collector in his letter No.5404/34, dated 6th July 1935, forwarded with the G.O.No.R.864-W, dated 13th August 1935. The main building and outhouses have now been estimated in detail and it is found that the detailed estimate gives a higher plinth area rate than was adopted in the approximate preliminary estimate.

2. Provision for fencing was omitted by the Executive Engineer in his approximate estimate and this is now included. This is estimated to cost 950.

3. The provision in the detailed estimate for well is Rs.2320 against Rs.1500 provided for in the approximate estimate administratively approved by Government.

According to G.O.No.89-W of 9-1-1930 the limit for class II officer is Rs.40,000. The detailed estimate now amounts to Rs.36,400 and is recommended for the approval of Government.

for Chief Engineer,P.W.D.

Enclosure

(1) File of estimate Rs.36,400 in triplicate
(2) Rs.310 ,,
(3) pressure calculations and detailed data in original 17 sheets
(4) Site plan S.E.C.25/36 (blue print)
(5) Five blue prints C.A.Nos.173 to 177/35
(6) four plans W.C.Nos.122,124,126 and 127/36.

B/V./25-11-1936

4

Office of the Chief Engineer, P.W.I
(General, Buildings & Roads)

Post Copy of Tel one Message dated 12-12-36.

From

The Deputy Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
(General, Buildings & Roads).



To

The Assistant Secretary,
Public Works Department.

pgoante

Re:- Your Telephone Message dated 11-12-1936.

The provision of Rs.2320/- in the revised estimate includes Rs.820/- for well and Rs.1,500/- for approach roads. Para 3 of Chief Engineer's letter No.3958 Wks/36-4 of 24-11-36 should read as follows:--

"The provision in the detailed estimate for well and approach roads is Rs.2,320/- against Rs.1,500/- provided for in the approximate estimate."

ue Copy/

Senior Superintendent. 14/12/36

14/12

3.12/12.

C.F. 34. Endorsement.

~~PROCEEDINGS~~
~~MEMORANDUM~~ OF THE Chief Engineer, P.W.D.(G.B. & R)

Post Box No. 410 *Telegraphic Address* Quyadamica.

7-JAN 1937

Name M. O'Brien Esq., B.E., A.M.I.C.E.,

No. 10-Wks, *dated* 6th January 1937.

37-1.

Short title D.S.P's Quarters, Mangalore - Extra land compensation charges Rs.3,587-15-0.

READ—the following:—

From the Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore Circle, No.4-W/602-Z-17 dated 4th January 1937

Submitted to Government in continuation of this office endorsement No.3958-Wks/36-4. dated 24th November 1936 for according approval to the revised amount.

6/1/37

for Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

To the Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.
with a copy of S.E's letter.

Copy to the Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore Circle.

ska/6-1-37.

C.F. 32.

In reply, please quote number, date and sh

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... Registered .....

23/6

From (Name) D.W.Gollan Esquire, A.M.I.C.E.,

(Designation) Offg. Superintending Engineer,

Post Box ....., (Station) Coimbatore Circle, Coimbatore.

Telegraphic Address .....

To

The Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,

General, Buildings & Roads, Madras.

No. 44 / 602817 , *dated* 4th January *19* 37.

Sir,

Copy of letter No.1686 S.E., dated 26-12-1936, from A.G.G.Barclay Esq., A.M.I.C.E., Executive Engineer, West Coast Division, Calicut, to the Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore Circle:--

ENCLOSURES.....

.....

.....

SPARE COPIES.....

Subject:--D.S.P's.quarters, Mangalore - Extra land compensation charges Rs.3,587-15-0.
Reference:--Your No.1590-M, dated 13-10-1936.

In your No.quoted above an estimate for Rs.36,400/- for constructing D.S.P's.quarters, Mangalore, has been sent to the Inspector General of Police, for obtaining the approval of Government. The Collector of South Kanara has since intimated to me that I should arrange to reserve a sum of Rs.3,587-15-0 to pay the award made by the Subordinate Judge of South Kanara on account of extra land acquisition charges for the above work. I am informing him that the above amount has been reserved for payment before March 1937.

I request that the above charge be included in the estimate and that the approval of Government be obtained for the revised amount (i.e., Rs.36,400 plus Rs.3,588 = 39,988).

A copy of this is being sent to the Inspector General of Police to save delay.

*****

VERY URGENT.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., General, Buildings and Roads, Madras for information and necessary action with reference to letter R.C.No.1268/H & B/36, date

19th

1 2

19th November 1936 from the Inspector General of Police to the Secretary to Government of Madras, Home Department. I request that a provision of Rs.3,600/- extra may kindly be included against land compensation in the estimate to make a total of Rs.36,400 plus 3,600 or Rs.40,000/-. The original provision for the above in the estimate was Rs.7,500/-. This figure will now be Rs.11,100/-.

2. I request you please to obtain early sanction of Government. The tender notice and schedule of quantities are under preparation and will be sent shortly to the Executive Engineer for his verification and issue to the tenderers.

(Sd) D.W. Gollan
For Superintending Engineer,
Coimbatore Circle.

V.S.4-1-37.

E/11.12

POLICE DEPARTMENT

Subject.

Buildings - South Kanara District Mangalore - Residence for the District Superintendent of Police Construction.

Station Madras Dated. 1 January 193

From

F. Sayers, Esquire,
Offg. Inspector-General of Police,
M a d r a s.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Home Department.

Sir

Refce:- My letter R.C.No.1268/HB/36, dated 19th November 1936.

In forwarding herewith a copy of letter No.1686 DE dated 26th December 1936, from the Executive Engineer, West Coast Division, to the Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore, I have the honour to request that in the circumstances stated by the Executive Engineer, an additional provision of Rs.3588/ be made in the estimate for the construction of a residence fo the District Superintendent of Police at Mangalore forwarded with my letter quoted above and that the estimate be approved for Rs.36400 plus Rs.3588 or Rs.39988/-

I have the honour to be,

Your most obedient servant,

for Insp.-Gen. of Police.

2/ 9 / 9

Copy of letter No.1686 DE. dated 26th December 1936, from the Executive Engineer, West Coast Division, Calicut, to the Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore Circle, Coimbatore.

Subject:- D.S.P's quarters Mangalore - Extra land compensation charges Rs.3587-15-0.

Refce:- Your No.1590 M, dated 13-10-36.

In your No. quoted above an estimate for Rs.36500 for constructing D.S.P's quarters Mangalore has been sent to the Inspector-General of Police for obtaining the approval of Government. The Collector of South Kanara has since intimated to me that I should arrange to reserve a sum of Rs.3587-15-0 to pay the award made by the Subordinate Judge of South Kanara on account of extra land acquisition charges for the above work. I am informing him that the above amount has been reserved for payment before March 1937.

I request that the above charge be included in the estimate and that the approval of Government be obtained for the revised amount (i.e. Rs.36500 plus Rs.3588 = 40088).

A copy of this is being sent to the Inspector-General of Police to save delay.

****

for Inspr.-Genl. of Police.

S.G.M./6-1-37.

Urgent.

C.F. 32 (a).

In reply, please quote number, date and short title *

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

10

Received *Registered*

From (Name) M. O'Brien Esq., B.E., A.M.I.C.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
(Designation) General, Buildings and Roads.

Post Box 410, (Station) Chepauk, Madras.

Telegraphic Address Guyadamica.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT. 629 2-FEB 1937 PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

No. 10-Wks, *dated* 30th January *19* 37.

Sir, 37-3.

Quarters for the District Superintendent of Police at Mangalore - Construction - Revised estimate - Ref. my Endt. Nos.3958-Wks/36-4. dated 24th November 1936 and 10-Wks/37-1 dated 6th January 1937.

I invite attention to the above reference and request very early approval to the revised estimate mentioned therein.

for Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

Enclosures

Spare Copies

ska/30-1-37.

3571

GW 30.1.37

Buildings - South Kanara

Residence for the D.S.P. - Ver

No 2931 B/36 720 in the G. of Police Rc no 1268/II B/3
CF

(N) 126

G.O. 1079W dt 4.5.193

Govt accorded an excess of Rs 27,000 to the construction of quarters for the D.S.P. at Mangalore. The I.G. of Police has now submitted detailed & revised plans and estimates amounting to Rs 36,400. The excess of Rs 9,400 in the revised estimate over the estimate(d) approved in G.O. 1079W dt 4.5.1935 is explained by the Chief Engineer in his note on 12.

(N) The I.G.'s proposal may be supported in this Dept and the file sent to the P.W.D for further action.

See below & particulars on 14 CF

2/12/36.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT. 5 DEC 1936 PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.

P.W.

10-2-93 IB-1

4.12.86

A draft order is submitted

12 2.

may issue with the concurrence of Finance

PL
8.12.36
ml
10.12.36

I find from the statistics at pp 50-57 of that the well Costs only Rs 820, but the C.E. says on p.2 of that the well cost Rs 2320 against Rs 1500 provided in the estt. which seems to be a mistake. The original estt is with the file. Please ask of C.E. by phone if A is only a mistake & if so what the difference of Rs 1500 between the original & revised estt is due to urgent

A

KL
10/12

A draft T.M. to subd.

PL
11.12.36
ml
11.12.36

KL
11/12

Issued T.M. on 11.12.36 p90 cf

CNP 4504/36 from the CE. (PWD), N.. dt 12.12.36 p91 cf

The CE. reports that the provision in the detailed estimate for well and approach

roads is Rs 2,320/- against Rs 1,500, provided in the original estimate.

The draft order put up may issue with the concurrence of Finance.

Finance (E.I.)

Residence of the Dt. Supdt. of Police, Mangalore.

	Rs.
Original estimate	27.000
Revised estimate.	36,400
Variation (Excess)	+9,400

The reasons for the excess are explained in the Chief Engineer's endorsement on page 2 c.f. The revised estimate includes provision for barbed wire fencing of the compound at an estimated cost of Rs.950/-. The feasibility of providing a Madras thorn hedge in its stead should first be investigated. The Chief Engineer may be consulted on this point

Subject to the above remarks the draft order may be accepted.

2. The excess over the original estimate sanctioned by Government in G.O. No. 1079 W dated 4-5-38 is over 25% and it is usual to report such excess to the Finance Committee. As this body has now ceased to exist, P.W.D. have in another case suggested

14

irculation to H.Ms M-2 and C-4. A similar procedure / 3.1.37

ay be adopted in this case also.

28.12.86

Thro' B.G. 4/1.37

3.1.37 4-1-37 DS.

4/5/1/37 P.W.D. 1---

P.W.D. Secn. No. 392058 I-1 5. 1. 37.

ASST SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 5 - JAN 1937 65 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

C/o 81(37) from the CE.(SB&B), No 10WS/37-1 pp 92-94C

6.1.37.

Please see the note from pay & audit.

The S.E. has now stated that an extra provision of Rs 3,600 has to be made for land compensation charges. The original amount provided for this was Rs 7,500/- vide p 51 of the C.b. The total amount of the estimate after providing for enhanced land compensation charges, will be Rs 40,000/, against the original figure of Rs 36,400/-. The estimate provides a sum of Rs 7,807 for barbed wire fencing p 53 which is considered. The Finance dept desire that the feasibility of providing p 3 ante

36,400
3600
40000

p3ante a Madras thorn hedge should first be investigated.

As the amount of land compensation charges has been reserved for payment before March 1937, it seems undesirable to hold up orders on this case till the enquiry referred to above is complete. It may perhaps be ordered that the feasibility of providing a Madras thorn hedge in place of barbed wire fencing should be investigated and a report submitted to Govt before the work is actually begun.

p936 A revised draft order on the case is accordingly submitted.

It may be circulated to HM2 and HMC4.

7.1.37 HM

6.1.37 The original estimate provided Rs 3000 for land. Please see item 4 (iii) on p.3 of G.O. 1079 dt 9.4.35.

(N) When the preliminary notification of acquisition of land was submitted, the cost was estimated at Rs 7242. The extent is 3 acres 1500 sq.ft.

G.O. dt 8644 13.8.35 (E)

p 10 of do It is now stated that the Sub-Judge has awarded an excess of Rs 3588 for land and so

p 93 C

So that the total cost of land comes to Rs 7242 + 3588 = 10,830. This seems excessive for a bungalow which with out buildings &c is estimated to cost about Rs 28,000 only. It must be remembered that the cost of land is excluded for purpose of calculating the Standard rent. It seems unnecessary that either the a portion of the land proposed to be acquired should be given up or a less costly site selected. The former is apparently not quite feasible, please see Ellis letter (para 3) on pp 1-2 of Govt No 884 W dy 12.8.35.

Perhaps the Home dept might be asked to consult the Agriculturist about this & obtain his views.

Incidentally, it might be considered whether the revenue authorities might be called on to report why the value of the land was originally so much underestimated

KL
15/1

S

PWD

Very Urgent

No N° 4371 C36-4 Dt 18.1.37

My dear Cronyn,

Residence for the D.S.P
Mangalore.

Please refer to
~~As you might be~~
Cownes letter No Ref B3-5404
~~aware, the last~~ dated the 6th July 1935
~~decided to Construct the~~
~~new building of the~~
~~above building, and the~~
necessary acquisition of an
extent of 3 acres and 5009 Sqr.
ft of land ~~is being proceeded~~ for the Construction
of the above building
with the original estimate
for the work provides a sum
of Rs 3000/- for this acquisition
When the preliminary
notification for the acquisition
was submitted, the Cost of
land was estimated at Rs 7242/
It is now reported that ~~the~~
Sub Judge has awarded an
extra sum
~~excess~~ of Rs 3588/. ~~So that the~~
total Cost of the land comes to
Rs 10,830/-, ~~with the~~ while the bungalow with
outbuildings is estimated to cost

pages 1-2 of (E)

18.1.37

p 10 of (E)

p 3 of (N).

p 7 of (E)

p 93 of

24-2
33-88
11 & 30

19

el~~[illegible]~~ Vesey Fort
me know ~~whether any~~
~~urgently~~. (1) whether possession
of the land has or has not been
taken, ~~and~~ (2) whether, in view
of the very high cost of the
land now, relatively to the
cost of the proposed building,
it would not be possible to
withdraw from the acquisition
~~and~~ (3) ~~in which case~~ whether there is
another suitable site likely to
be substantially cheaper? Can
you also let me know what
has occasioned the great increase
in the cost of land over what
originally estimated?

As this is urgent business in
the work in the current year, ~~please~~ I am
~~send~~ ~~you~~ reply urgently.

Yours Sincerely

corrected by seeing with fair copy.

16/1 16/1

6.1.37.

6/1/37.

D.O.No.4371 C/36-4.

VERY URGENT.

Public Works Department,
Fort Saint George, Madras,
Dated 18th January 1937.

My dear Crombie,

Residence for the District Superintendent of Police at Mangalore.

Please refer to Gawne's letter No.Ref.B.3.5404-34 dated the 6th July 1935 relating to the acquisition of an extent of 3 acres and 5009 sq. ft. of land for the construction of the above building. The original estimate for the work provided a sum of Rs.3,000 for this acquisition; when the preliminary notification for the acquisition was submitted, the cost of land was estimated at Rs.7242/-. It is now reported that the Sub Judge has awarded an extra sum of Rs.3588/- so that the total cost of the land comes to about Rs.10,830/- while the bungalow with outbuildings is estimated to cost about Rs.28.000 only. Will you kindly let me know (1) whether possession of the land has or has not been taken, (2) whether, in view of the very high cost of the land now, relatively to the cost of the proposed building, it would not be possible to withdraw from the acquisition and (3) whether in the latter case there is another suitable site likely to be substantially cheaper? Can you also let me know what has occasioned the great increase in the cost of land over that originally estimated?

As there is budget provision for the work in the current year, I am to ask you to send reply urgently

Yours sincerely

Office copy

A.D.Crombie Esq., C.I.E., I.C.S.,

Collector of South Kanara,

MANGALORE.

present site acquired I think this would be the best solution, but it looks as though it will be at least a [illegible] fore the appeal about my bungalow is settled.

6 As Gawne is in Madras, I suggest you discuss the whole matter with him, as he was the Land Acquisition Officer for the District Police Superintendent's Bungalow site.

Yours sincerely,

Tc

W. Scott Brown, Esq, C.I.E. I.C.S.,
Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Madras.

#26.

By Special messenger

Urgent

Do No 4371/C36-5 of 28.

My dear Gaunt,

I enclose for your information copies of my Do. & Govt's and this reply thereto about the construction of quarters for the Dy. Supdt of Police at Mangalore. Can you kindly meet me at the Secrett for a discussion? Any working day will suit me. I am generally here from 9 to 10 am

Yours Sincerely

27.1

27/1 28/1

E. M. Gaunt Esq ICS
Coll: of Chicksalpet.

28/1/37.

enhanced by Rs 3,588 by the Sub-Judge Mangalore. The total cost of acquisition

7242
3588
10,830

Mangalore

late comes to about Rs 10,830/- This would bring the total cost of the residence for the

36,400
3588
39,988

to Rs 39,988 or Rs 40,000 (in round figures

p 11-13 c

The Collector of South Kanara (M

on p 11 ante

bie, I.C.S.) has stated that possession of the land has already been taken, and that it is now too late to withdraw from the acq

S on p 11-12 ante

But he points out that the site acqui has no access to the road and avoid

X on p 11

that the best solution of the difficulty would be to induce the owners to take back land and select another site. He understands that the owners will be willi

R on p 11 ante

On payment of the Solatium.

The PW. Secretary, after discu

the Collector was the late Collector of S Kanara in Civil Judgment) that there is absolutely no point in payin Solatium of nearly Rs 1450/- and co Costs to the owners to induce them to tak back the land, and that there is no pros of getting suitable site without the existing bungalow as small and unsuited. He there proposes that the revised estimate, allo for the further increase in the award

18 ante

may be accepted by the Collector bein

asked to do the best to solve the approach road difficulty. Submitted that Finance may agree

MG 31.1.37 th. 31.1.37

The proposals of the P.W.D seem may be accepted. It however not dead advisable necessary to retain the entire extent of 3.12 acres for the bungalows. The total cost may perhaps be reduced, by withdrawing from acquisition a portion of the land or by selling the portion of the land not absolutely required.

* portion B on page 11 and

& portion R on page 6 and

A.

by 31.1.37

D.S. P.W.

The proposals are accepted. The Collector has replied that it is now too late to withdraw from the acquisition and it is very doubtful whether the owners would agree to take back a portion of the land acquired. It does not however seem necessary to have so large an extent as 3.12 acres and as suggested at A above a portion of the land which is not absolutely necessary may be sold

~~21~~ 31

With a view to ~~[illegible]~~ the Tribal Cert.

Fin U.O. 3448/ET-1
31.1.37

Fin/81.1.37.

P.W.D.



P.W.D

Please see note Fin. Deptt's note from page 18 ante

A para has been added to the draft order, with reference to the portion marked A on page 20 ante. A draft D.O. is also submd.

The file may be sent to Home for perusal. p.

(Hm c2 manjalkar sec)

2.2.37

Fin

2/2

Hm

P.W.D. U.O. 437-I/36-7
2.2.37

Previous note & D.O. from p. 7 ante

Received 4 P.M.

Home U.O. 524-B
2-2-37



33/3

PWD

Do No 4371/C36-8 2402

My dear Crombie,

Your Do No Ref B3-4402-35 & 23.6.37 regarding the site of the residence of the Dy Supdt of Police at Mangalore. As suggested by you I have discussed the matter with Gowda and gather that it will be very difficult to get good dairy site at Mangalore not occupied by a house already built. The Govt also consider that there is no point in paying a solatium of nearly Rs 14.50 in addition presumably to Court costs to induce the owners to take the acquired land back especially as there is no suitable prospect of another site. In the circumstances, Govt do not think it advisable to reopen the question of the sale to the owners. It would be well to carry on with the acquisition and if now as it is not possible to withdraw from the acquisition and if

Pl see 1718 ant

visibility of existing houses. wireless or finding 2 suitable sites for building was thoroughly explored already and that 4

Depnl

Govt do not think it advisable to reopen the question of the sale to the owners. It would be well to carry on with the acquisition and if now as it is not possible to withdraw from the acquisition and if

34

Suggest that you should to ~~approach~~ do the best you can to solve the approach road difficulty. The fact also should be considered that the extent of land acquired for 3.12 acres is large & a portion of it should if possible be sold in order to buy down the total cost.

2 Orders are being issued separately sanctioning a revised Estimate of Rs 40,000 for the work.

6/2.2.37

Yours sincerely

Ch 2/2

A.D. Crombie Esq
C.E. ICS
Chr. of S. Kanara
Mangalore.

C.F. 33. Endorsement

PROCEEDINGS / MEMORANDUM OF THE Chief Engineer, P.W.D. (General, Buildings & Roads)

Post Box No. 410 *Telegraphic Address* Quyadamica

Name M.O'Brien Esq., B.E., A.M.I.C.E.,

No. 1606 Wks/37-1 *dated* 6th September 1937.

Short title Quarters for the District Superintendent of Police, Mangalore South Kanara Feasibility of providing Madras thorn hedge in place of barbed wire fencing.

READ—the following:—



From the Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore Circle,

No.449.W.. dated 20th April 1937

****

Submitted to Government for information with reference to para 2 of G.O.No.Ms.231 P.W.D., dated 4th February 1937. The barbed wire fencing already provided for in the estimate will be erected.

for Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department.

with a copy of Superintending Engineer's letter.

****

S.S.6/9/37.

P.W.D

C.E. C.N°. 4116 c From the C.E. (Gen.) No. 4606 Wks. 37-1 dt. 6.9.37.

Buildings - S. Kanara - Mangalore -

D.S.P. Qrs. - Feasibility of providing

Madras thorn hedge in place of barbed

wire fence -

Plan see para 2 of G.O. No. 231 P.W.D.

dt. 4.2.37 and the S.E.'s letter on pp 2-3 of. No. action

necessary. The papers may be attached with

G.O. No. 231 P.W.D. dt. 4.2.37 after perusal by Finance.

21.9.37

30.9.37

27/

The

P.W. mno No. 4116 c/37-1

28.9.37

30 SEP 1937

Finance E I

May be returned to P.W.D.

after perusal.

29481 E I

30.9.37. 30.9.37

1.10.37

A.S. III

D.S.

D.S.

2.10.37

P.W.D

Fin. mo 29481 E I-1

5 OCT 1937

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT. PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.